Q1. First name
Tim

Q2. Last name
Greenish

Q3. Phone

Q4. Mobile

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country
Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type
Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
not answered

Q11. Organisation name
not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
Yes

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
The proposal sounds good on paper, but is a watering down of the current protections.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
There are no positive outcomes.
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

There should be no further logging in any areas other than renewables such as pine forests. The environment was far better off when it was not "managed"

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

What we have to protect the forests from is human intervention and encroachment. We need to reduce the pressure on the environment by decreasing the demand for timber by reducing, not increasing the population.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

As above

Q21. General comments

Why try and prop up a system that is not working for the overall protection of the environment

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered