Q1. First name

Q2. Last name

Q3. Phone
   not answered

Q4. Mobile

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country
   not answered

Q8. Stakeholder type
   Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
   not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
     not answered

Q11. Organisation name
     not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
     Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
     Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
     Yes, but anonymous

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
     No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
     If implemented, these laws will see the logging of protected old-growth, clearfelling of 45-hectare blocks of koala habitat.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
     None. Destroying what is left of the ecological values of state forests and logging protected areas to meet wood supply is not the action of a responsible Government.
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The new IFOA ignores reality. Widespread declines in wildlife has prompted the Australian Senate to call an inquiry into our threatened species crisis. Overlogging has reduced sawlog resources throughout coastal NSW, a fact recognised by the industry itself. The number of jobs and mills has collapsed and logging now accounts for about 0.03% of primary industries employment. This will get worse as climate change and forest dieback make future timber resources increasingly uncertain—an issue highlighted in the the Natural Resources Commission report but ignored in the IFOA. The new IFOA will heighten the impacts of logging on threatened species, and exacerbate key threatening processes such as the loss of hollow-bearing trees and bell-miner associated dieback. The intensive harvesting zone will destroy almost half of the mapped high-quality koala habitat in state forests.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The best use of forests is to protect the wildlife that the tourism industry depends upon; protect the water supplies that our communities rely on and store the carbon that is driving climate breakdown.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. Clear felling, especially clear felling old growth forests is not at all sustainable. It is highly destructive to wildlife, water quality and the environment.

Q21. General comments

not answered

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)

not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)

not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)

not answered