Q1. First name

Q2. Last name

Q3. Phone

Q4. Mobile  
not answered

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country  
not answered

Q8. Stakeholder type  
Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other  
not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff  
not answered

Q11. Organisation name  
not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?  
Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?  
Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?  
Yes, but anonymous

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?  
No
I am very concerned about the proposal to renew and modify the IFOA. Already in my region forestry has commenced works that they have no authority to commence and breached their regulations. Although this has been reported to the EPA the EPA has such limited resources that they can't enforce the rules. Given this is the case there is little hope that future rules can be enforced, it is more important now than ever to recognise that the world has changed, it is no longer appropriate to bring down great forests under the guise of meeting commitments to the forestry industry which really doesn't support very much employment, and is out of touch with the desires of the community. The community prefers forests to be preserved for aesthetic value, carbon sinking, tourism, and leisure. All of these values are destroyed when the forest is selectively logged - a term which brings great comfort to consumers but no genuine benefit to the forest which is denuded in the process. Preserve forests as breeding grounds for all species that live there, and form part of the natural habitat that koalas need before they become extinct. Therefore the forests must be protected and the NSW government must change its position on harvesting forests which is an initiative that is funded by tax payers when the shortfall is met. The forests must be kept in tact to retain soil and provide streams that drain into rivers and keep soil moisture in the soil to prevent dry conditions that lead to bushfires. The habit of clearfelling must end throughout NSW. There are more jobs in protecting forests than cutting them down. Plantation forests on dedicated plantation allotments create more jobs that denuding a landscape and leaving it alone for 30 years to do its best after the conditions that grow a forest are destroyed and burnt, The funds that are spent on propping up the forestry industry would be much better spent on developing seedling nurseries and soil improvement for allocated plantations. Queensland has done it - why hasn't New South Wales? I have been monitoring the action in the forests and raising my concerns with the EPA and Forestry Corp - I am very worried that court cases which challenge the legality of these irresponsible practices are going to cost the NSW State Government a lot of money that completely defeats any potential gain from the barbaric act of taking down the forest. I urge you to change these practices immediately.

I can't see how leaving a log in a denuded forest or a 10m per hectare stand of forest does anything useful whatsoever.

The mapping looks like it is being used to expand more areas that can be culled. The IFOA in its entirety has no relevance in today's society. We need to be looking towards alternatives to these practices that are responsible - destroying natural habitat is no longer a practice in keeping with today's society. The NSW Stage Government needs to change this position immediately or be held accountable.

Not enough is being proposed to have any genuine effect.

NO - because the NSW State government has taken foresting on private land out of the governance of the EPA which means that the entire state is now open to being culled where trees stand on private land, and, the EPA itself is so under resourced it cannot possibly observe or commit to upholding the values presented in the proposal. As a citizen it has been down to me to go to the local compartment and measure trees and report breaches to the EPA - there are not even any staff present to come and see for themselves what is going on. How is that effective management?
Q21. General comments

Seeing the extent of existing plans, and the proposed future plans, coupled with the removal of private land to a new entity and new laws that stop people from being able to speak out, I am very very concerned. Timber felling in state forests is no longer an appropriate activity. I have been monitoring the action in the forests and raising my concerns with the EPA and Forestry Corp - I am very worried that court cases which challenge the legality of these irresponsible practices are going to cost the NSW State Government a lot of money that completely defeats any potential gain from the barbaric act of taking down the forest. I urge you to change these practices immediately.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)  not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)  not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)  not answered