Q1. First name
   Michael

Q2. Last name
   Field

Q3. Phone
   [redacted]

Q4. Mobile
   not answered

Q5. Email
   [redacted]

Q6. Postcode
   [redacted]

Q7. Country
   not answered

Q8. Stakeholder type
   Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
   not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
    not answered

Q11. Organisation name
    not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
    Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
    Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
    Yes

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
    No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
    Logging of old-growth timber.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
    not answered
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?
not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?
not answered

Q21. General comments
Large-scale logging risks changing the ecology. Hazard-reduction burning often occurs in the same -- easiest -- locations, rather than being properly distributed throughout forestry areas, both skewing ecological development and risking major fire damage in unburnt areas in die course.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered