Q1. First name
Judith

Q2. Last name
Wenborn

Q3. Phone

Q4. Mobile
not answered

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country
Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type
Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
not answered

Q11. Organisation name
not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
Yes

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
All

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
None
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

All

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Damage done is irreversible

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

Any legislation that opens the door to any old growth logging is not environmentally sound

Q21. General comments

The destruction of the australian environment in the very short 200 years since european settlement is shameful and any further deforestation (especially that roughly disguised as being part of sustainability) is unnecessary, life threatening and future generations should sue the Department for vandalism.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered