Q1. First name  
Brian

Q2. Last name  
Summers

Q3. Phone  
not answered

Q4. Mobile

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country  
Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type  
Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other  
not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff  
not answered

Q11. Organisation name  
Individual

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?  
Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?  
No

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?  
Yes

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?  
No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

Most important to me is Environmental Protections and Reforestation, not destructive “forestry” which is simply the stripping of the remaining nature in a destructive pattern institutionalised within the forestry industry to date.
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

There is no part of the proposed IFOA which I believe will have a positive outcome. It seems to me the IFOA is a facade for further environmental destruction behind supposedly reasonable reasoning, smaller stream buffer zones are not compatible with a genuine concern for the ecology values within the remaining ultra valuable forests.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Smaller buffer zones. Intensive zone. Fewer old trees. Removal of protections for already protected areas in informal reserves and excluded areas. Logging of CAR areas. The intensity of Logging. The volume of logging. The ongoing reduced need for environmental studies to protect nature.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The effectiveness of permanent environmental protections is inadequate, example of which is this very bill which is designed to remove protections. Keep existing protections, protect the remaining forests. NO NATIVE FORESTRY.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

The IFOA will not be effective in maintaining environmental values and a sustainable timber industry because the NSW Government is operating still on behalf of the timber pillaging industry.

Q21. General comments

I do not at all trust the NSW Government in making protections or sustainability rules, the history is of NSW Government facilitated plunder of nature.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered