

Respondent No: 14 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

**Responded At:** Jun 03, 2018 12:28:09 pm **Last Seen:** Jun 03, 2018 12:28:09 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Sally
Q2. Last name	Pyvis
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	
not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why? see submission (below)	

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

All See submission (below)

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

see submission (below)

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No See submission (below)

## Q21. General comments

SUBMISSION LACK OF DEMOCRATIC PROCESS The NSW Government's clear disdain for the Australian people, as custodians of native forests, is evident in its lack of proper process and genuine public consultation regarding the proposed IFOA changes to native timber harvesting in NSW coastal forests. Instead of community workshops with stakeholders (the Australian people being the biggest stakeholder here), the public is offered a seemingly gratuitous online submission process which will, in my view, have little effect on what appears to be a predetermined outcome that favours the NSW Forest Corporation. Conservationists were barred from providing information or comment to the Natural Resources Commission during the drafting phase and the public is not being fully informed of the impacts of these proposals. This is undemocratic. THE DEVASTATING IMPACTS of weakened logging rules of the proposed Coastal IFOA include ... - the merging of the existing four timber harvesting zones into one that covers the entire NSW coast - increased logging intensity, including clearfelling of small patches of forest - the zoning of 140,000 hectares for clearfelling - removal of the need to look for and protect threatened species - reducing stream buffers - opening up old growth forests for logging - a new mapping exercise that poses a serious threat to mature forests losing their current high protection levels (to make up for logging contracts lost in koala reserves). - amalgamation of the existing four coastal IFOAs and separate licenses for threatened species and erosion control into one non-IFOA license which will contribute to poor management of forestry practices - reduced water yields for coastal town and city populations as intensive land clearfell logging dries out catchment areas - increased erosion and sedimentation of streams due to decreased stream buffers - increased erosion and water pollution due to logging on very steep slopes - acceleration of the extinction of many native plant and animal species as there will no longer be a requirement to identify them prior to logging (ie removal of requirement for pre-logging threatened species surveys) - weakened protection for vulnerable native plant and animal species - an irreversible loss of native forest biodiversity - a marked reduction in the carbon store (and the environmental benefits this store provides) of coastal forests - increased flammability of forests due to the dense, young regrowth that that replaces mature forest following increased logging intensity The proposed change to the IFOA appears to be a blatant cost cutting exercise that represents a substantial reduction in existing environmental protection to native forests, wildlife and water courses. This proposal will not alter the fact that the NSW Forest Corporation continues its poorly enforced, monitored and evaluated, and inefficient forest practices that are uneconomic and unsustainable. A move towards greater industry self-regulation will accelerate the loss of "our" coastal native forest assets. The proposed IFOA is a backward leap in forest logging practice, the negative impacts of which will result in greater degradation of our natural environment. Thank you for considering my comments Ms SJ Pyvis 5A Stanhope St Cottesloe WA. 6011

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

3