

Respondent No: 598 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 13, 2018 17:13:17 pm **Last Seen:** Jul 13, 2018 17:13:17 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	
Q2. Last name	
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	not answered
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	
not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	not answered
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes, but anonymous
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

The monitoring and regulatory framework, plus the redefinition of old-growth forest and rainforest, leading to more important habitat resources being subject to harvesting.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None. Timber harvesting will not be ecologically sustainable under the proposed IFOA.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Reduced protection of hollow-bearing trees and mature trees which will be the old-growth of the future. This will impact on the long-term viability of populations of threatened hollow-dependent fauna. Redefinition of rainforest. The reduced protection of the wet-sclerophyll/rainforest ecotone will greatly reduce the biodiversity of our forests in the long-term. Reduced filter strips for first-order streams will impact on the water retention and regulation of run-off that is an important ecosystem benefit provided by our forests. The composition of the monitoring committee and reduced independent oversight of forestry operations. While I have had a low level of confidence in the EPA's commitment to take appropriate regulatory action despite numerous breaches, at least this is better than the zero regulatory framework that is proposed under the IFOA.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

This will have limited effectiveness due to the intensive logging (clear-felling) that will be permitted.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. Clear-felling is not an appropriate style of forestry on the north coast, with its intensive rain storms and the high diversity of weed species, for long-term survival of the forest and the industry.

Q21. General comments

not answered

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

3)