



Respondent No: 171

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 26, 2018 08:45:26 am

Last Seen: Jun 26, 2018 08:45:26 am

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Phone** not answered
- Q4. **Mobile** not answered
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** not answered
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Individual
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** not answered
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** Yes
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes, but anonymous
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** No
- Q16. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**
not answered
- Q17. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**
not answered

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. Criticisms by the group NEFF and the NSW NPA have not been addressed specifically and publicly.

Q21. General comments

I'm just a member of the public. I've taken an hour to look into this issue and I can't possibly be enough of an expert with that investment to tell who's right - the conservation groups who say this legislation will increase logging, clear-cutting and reduce protections to species and waterways, or the government who says "no, this increases protection". So I can only say that if this legislation increases harvesting or negative impacts, I am vehemently opposed to it. The onslaught to our living environment continues year after year, and we simply must increase protections. No compromise. As they say, "no jobs on a dead planet".

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
