

Respondent No: 103 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

**Responded At:** Jun 21, 2018 02:17:36 am **Last Seen:** Jun 21, 2018 02:17:36 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1.	First name		
Q2.	Last name		
Q3.	Phone		
Q4.	Mobile	not answered	
Q5.	Email	not answered	
Q6.	Postcode		
Q7.	Country	Australia	
Q8.	Stakeholder type	Individual	
Q9.	Stakeholder type - Other		
I	not answered		
Q10	. Stakeholder type - Staff		
I	not answered		
Q11	Organisation name	not answered	
Q12	. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email	
Q13	.Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes	
Q14	. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes, but anonymous	
Q15	.Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	Yes	
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?			
ı	not answered		
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?			

not answered

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

## Q21. General comments

I would like to make a submission regarding the Integrated Forestry Operations Approvals as below: There is obviously a reduction in all kinds of protection for sensitive areas, such as creek lines, and threatened species of flora and fauna. The only people who can be pleased about this are the ones making the money, since it is obvious that nothing else is important to them. The refusal to re-survey the RFA points speaks for itself - most of the places that were surveyed 20 years ago are so degraded that re-surveying them would simply point out the massive destruction of our natural resource that has taken place. I was part of the RFA surveys 20 years ago and recall seeing many beautiful places. I am aware that you cannot tell me how many of those places still exist, but I'm willing to bet that you have a fair idea and don't want to say anything about them. I still believe that our forest do not belong to the loggers, or to you, but to the people. If they have been logged out of existence, along with the flora and fauna that live within them, then a crime against the State has been committed. Forests must be protected enough so that they can continue their existence. Sustainable logging practices are possible, but apparently not with the commercial interests that are running the show at present. In particular, the plight of koalas is now well known, thanks largely to the large amount of research that has been conducted into them. It is plain that koalas want much the same trees as the loggers, so what gives here? Money as usual, or a now threatened marsupial that should have the right to continue it's existence. I have lived and worked close to or in Australian forests for much of my life. I appreciate them aesthetically, I have researched the reproductive and molecular biology of some eucalypts and appreciate their life cycle and strategy, and now spend much of my time regenerating rainforest. I will not stand by and watch an inept or corrupt organisation of any brand destroy what has been such a large part of my life. We (the people of this country) need our forests to be managed sustainably, for the beautiful places to be kept beautiful, and not destroyed. Please be aware that you will not be able to simply remove or drastically reduce the protections to these areas and species simply so that you can make more money. You may have some obstacles. Kind Regards Maggie Wheeler

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)	not answered
Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)	not answered
Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)	not answered