Respondent No: 3 Login: Anonymous Email: n/a	Responded At: Last Seen: IP Address:	May 18, 2018 13:13:36 pm May 18, 2018 13:13:36 pm n/a
Q1. First name		
Q2. Last name		
Q3. Phone		
Q4. Mobile		
Q5. Email		
Q6. Postcode		
Q7. Country	Australia	
Q8. Stakeholder type	Forest user group	
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other not answered		
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff not answered		
Q11. Organisation name	not answered	
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email	
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes	
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes, but anonymous	
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No	
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why? All of it		
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental		

values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

NONE

## Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

All of it -

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

disgraceful

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

NO - it's whole sale slaughter of what's left = you should be ashamed of yourselves

## Q21. General comments

Disgraceful - completely appalling. It's time you entered the 21st century and adopted protocols in line with the rest of the world - take a look at the UN's Strategic Plan For Forests 2030. You're an embarrassment - to all Australians.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)	not answered
Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)	not answered
Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)	not answered