



Respondent No: 252

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 02, 2018 12:52:45 pm

Last Seen: Jul 02, 2018 12:52:45 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Menkit
Q2. Last name	Prince
Q3. Phone	[REDACTED]
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	<p>I want nothing to do with your sham process as it is designed to corrupt the outcome with dishonestly framed questions. You now have my submission and I expect to see it included in the public record of the process.</p>
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?	not answered

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

not answered

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
