

To: LBL.Review@epa.nsw.gov.au

Load Based Licensing Review

Hello Amanda,
Sorry I have only just read the Review document.

I am particularly interested in STSs and development approvals along the Coast where the increase in pollutants will effect coastal ecosystems. It mentions that some only paid administrative fees and not load fees and the incentives for reducing waste water emissions was minimal and reuse schemes not viable.

Given that the State Government is pushing for more development up and down the Coast wouldn't a scheme for re-use be a mandatory requirement for STSs? The incentives to do half- baked re-use schemes in the past do not tackle the real issue of the new development/population increases of people and industry added to existing sewerage systems is creating more pollution.

A re-use scheme to recycle the waste water by installing a secondary piping system to any new development to take the waste water back to the new development to be used for watering gardens and parks thereby saving drinkable water and putting less or zero nutrient into the estuaries and ocean. Holding tanks could be installed to take excess waste water and used in drier times. If it was for every new development the cost would be shared by the Developer and Councils with regulatory roles and costs for the EPA in compliance in achieving this on top of existing load charges.

Thanks regards
Kerry Penrose