



NSW EPA's Load-based Licensing Scheme

Overview and facts about load-based licensing

© State of NSW, Environment Protection Authority.

The Environment Protection Authority (EPA) and the State of NSW are pleased to allow this material to be reproduced, for educational or non-commercial use, in whole or in part, provided the meaning is unchanged and its source, publisher and authorship are acknowledged. Specific permission is required for the reproduction of images.

Disclaimer:

The EPA has compiled this document in good faith, exercising all due care and attention. The EPA does not accept responsibility for any inaccurate or incomplete information supplied by third parties. No representation is made about the accuracy, completeness or suitability of the information in this publication for any particular purpose. The EPA shall not be liable for any damage which may occur to any person or organisation taking action or not on the basis of this publication. Readers should seek appropriate advice about the suitability of the information to their needs.

Published by:

NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) 59–61 Goulburn Street, Sydney PO Box A290 Sydney South NSW 1232

Report pollution and environmental incidents

Environment Line: 131 555 (NSW only) or info@environment.nsw.gov.au

See also www.epa.nsw.gov.au/pollution

Phone: +61 2 9995 5000 (switchboard)

Phone: 131 555 (NSW only – environment information and publication requests)

Fax: +61 2 9995 5999

TTY users: phone 133 677, then ask for 131 555

Speak and listen users: phone 1300 555 727, then ask for 131 555

Email: info@environment.nsw.gov.au

Website: www.epa.nsw.gov.au

ISBN 978 1 76039 032 7 EPA 2015/0399

October 2016

Contents

Contents		iii
Glossary of I	key terms	iv
Introduction.		1
The poll	uter pays approach	1
Load-based	licensing framework	2
Overvie	w	2
Load Ca	alculation Protocol	2
Technic	al Review Panel	2
Reportir	ng on LBL data	2
How the LBL	fee is applied	3
Calculat	ing LBL fees	4
Options to re	educe LBL fees	7
Reduce	the actual pollutant load	7
Reduce	the impact of the pollutants on the environment	7
Load red	duction agreements	8
Appendix 1:	Scheduled activities	9
Appendix 2:	Assessable pollutants for scheduled activities	. 11
Appendix 3:	Pollutant weightings	. 15
Appendix 4: (Critical zones & weightings	. 16
List of f	igures and tables	
Figure 1:	Fees payable under the LBL scheme	3
Figure 2:	Elements of the load-based fee calculation	4
Table A1:	All scheduled activities under the POEO Act, with those that are subject to the load-based licensing scheme highlighted grey	
Table A2a:	Scheduled activities subject to the LBL scheme with applicable assessable air pollutants and FRT factors for each activity	
Table A2b:	Scheduled activities subject to the LBL scheme with applicable assessable water pollutants and FRT factors for each activity	
Table A3a:	Pollutant weightings for assessable air pollutants included in the LBL scheme	. 15
Table A3b:	Pollutant weightings for assessable water pollutants included in the LBL scheme	. 15
Table A4a:	Critical zones and weightings for air pollutants	. 16
Table A4b:	Critical zones and weightings for water pollutants	.16

Glossary of key terms

Actual load mass of emissions of an assessable pollutant estimated as

specified in the Load Calculation Protocol

Administrative fee an administrative fee based on the type and scale of licensed

activity

Agreed load the load agreed between the licensee and the EPA under a load

reduction agreement, after pollution reduction improvements have

been made

Annual return statement of compliance with licence conditions and report of

actual, weighted or agreed pollutant loads generated by a premise

Assessable load the basis of pollutant load fees calculated by licensees

Assessable pollutant

a pollutant specified in Schedule 1 of the Regulation that attracts

load-based fees

Critical zone weighting

a weighting that applies to a specific assessable pollutant in sensitive areas or areas that are no longer resilient to pollutant

loads

Environment protection licence

a licence required for activities specified in the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* administered by the EPA

Fee rate threshold this represents an 'emission intensity' that should be achievable by

the industry using reasonable industrial practice for that sector; pollution fees (\$/kilogram) increase once the threshold is exceeded

Load Calculation

Protocol

a Protocol prescribing the methods licensees must use to estimate

and report pollutant loads

Load-based fee a fee based on the quantity and types of pollutants discharged and

the type of receiving environment

Load limit a pollution emission limit that can be placed on a licence as a

condition

Load reduction agreement

voluntary agreement between a licensee and the EPA that provides immediate fee reductions for committing to future

reductions of assessable pollutants

Pollutant fee unit a fixed dollar value set by regulation used in the load-based fee

calculation formula for any licence fee period

Pollutant load the amount of pollution emitted by a licence holder estimated in

accordance with the Load Calculation Protocol

Pollutant weighting a weighting assigned to a pollutant that reflect its potential to cause

environmental harm

Regulation, the the Protection of the Environment Operations (General) Regulation

2009

Scheduled activity an activity, as listed in Schedule 1 of the POEO Act, required to be

licenced by the EPA under an environment protection licence

Technical Review

Panel

a Panel that advises the EPA on the LBL scheme and the contents

of the Load Calculation Protocol

Weighted load a weighting applied to actual loads of pollution when pollution

management measures or approved green offsets are used to

minimise environmental harm

Introduction

This document provides an overview of the NSW Environment Protection Authority's (EPA's) load-based licensing (LBL) scheme.

The LBL scheme encourages cleaner industrial production through the 'polluter pays' principle¹. It operates within the EPA's licensing framework under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (the POEO Act) and Protection of the Environment (General) Regulation 2009 (the Regulation) and is also governed by the Load Calculation Protocol.

Within NSW, specific commercial and industrial facilities must hold an environment protection licence (EPL) administered by the EPA. The LBL scheme requires some of these licensees to pay part of their licence fees based on the loads of pollutants their activities release into the environment. By reducing the pollutant loads, the fees paid are also reduced thereby providing an ongoing economic incentive to achieve better environmental outcomes than those required by legislation or licence conditions alone; i.e. to improve their environmental performance beyond compliance.

The polluter pays approach

The EPA uses a range of other regulatory approaches and tools to control pollution emissions in NSW, including legislation, policy, education, licensing, investigation, other economic incentives and compliance and enforcement action. LBL complements these other regulatory approaches. For example, in addition to matters like licence and statutory limits, LBL fees are one of the factors that licensees consider when determining the need for plant upgrades. LBL fees change the economics of these decisions and provide an incentive for licensees to implement plant improvements sooner than they may have otherwise if LBL fees were not part of the equation.

LBL focuses on the amount of pollution released to the environment and the load fee is calculated on the potential environmental impact of that pollution, not on concentration levels; the lower the potential for environmental impact, the lower the fee. Instantaneous or acute environmental impacts are regulated by emission limits in EPLs whereas annual loads of pollutants, which can have a chronic or long-term impact, are regulated via the LBL scheme.

This offers polluters a financial incentive to reduce the pollution they produce. It also encourages industry to invest in pollution reduction in areas where it will most reduce fees.

LBL combines the strengths of several regulatory instruments to achieve better environmental and economic outcomes. In particular, it:

- incorporates incentives for ongoing pollution reduction
- gives licensees flexibility to implement cost-effective pollution abatement methods
- increases regulatory transparency
- provides the infrastructure for emissions trading schemes, and
- enables the long-term tracking of emissions.

1

¹ Defined as 'those who generate pollution and waste to bear the cost of containment, avoidance or abatement'. See section 6(2)(d)(i) of the *Protection of the Environment Administration Act 1991* (POEA Act)

Load-based licensing framework

Overview

Activities listed in Schedule 1 of the POEO Act are required to hold an environment protection licence, which is administered by the EPA. Some of these activities, but not all, are required to pay load-based fees on specific pollutants released to the environment. The scheme was designed to capture those facilities that collectively emit most of the target pollutants to the NSW environment. About 10 per cent of all licence holders are currently subject to the LBL scheme.

Schedule 1 of the Regulation sets out the licence fee system and lists any applicable assessable pollutants (those pollutants for which load-based fees may be payable).

Appendix 1 to this fact sheet lists all scheduled activities and highlights those that may be required to pay load-based fees on specific assessable pollutants.

The **Load Calculation Protocol** sets out the methods that licensees must use to estimate and report assessable pollutant loads. It is available on the EPA website at www.epa.nsw.gov.au/licensing/lblprotocol/index.htm.

Load Calculation Protocol

Licensees who are liable for load-based fees must estimate their emission loads in accordance with the Load Calculation Protocol (LCP). It provides licensees with the prescribed techniques required to estimate and report pollutant loads.

The LCP consists of two parts:

- Part A provides generic information for all licensees who are required to calculate pollutant loads.
- Part B sets out additional specific requirements that relate to particular fee-based activity classifications of licensed activities listed in Schedule 1 of the Regulation. It includes a worksheet to use for the calculations required by the LCP.

Technical Review Panel

The Technical Review Panel is a statutory technical advisory body constituted by the Regulation. It was established to advise the EPA on the content of the Load Calculation Protocol, matters connected with licences and matters referred to it by the EPA. The panel comprises seven members appointed by the Minister, including two representatives of the EPA, and five additional members representing industry, local government and environment groups, and an independent adviser.

The panel has met on a biannual basis or as needed. Prior to all meetings, the EPA invites LBL licensees to provide submissions to the panel, including applications for site-specific emission factors.

Reporting on LBL data

Information about all load data and fees paid is made available on the EPA's Public Register located on the EPA website at www.epa.nsw.gov.au/publicregister.

How the LBL fee is applied

Schedule 1 of the Regulation sets out the annual licence fee system and specifies all scheduled activities that may be required to pay load-based fees and the relevant assessable pollutants. Refer to **Appendix 2** for a list of assessable pollutants applicable for each activity (and associated fee rate threshold factors, discussed below).

An **annual return** includes an estimate of the load(s) of assessable pollutants released to the environment by a licensee and the calculated load-based fee for the licence fee period. Licensees must submit an annual return at the end of each 12 month licence fee period.

The annual licensing fee for licensees consists of:

- an administrative fee based on the type and scale of licensed activity (all licensees),
 and
- a load-based fee based on the quantity and types of pollutants discharged and the location of the receiving environment (for licensees subject to the LBL scheme).

However, due to the way the LBL scheme operates, LBL licensees pay either the administrative fee or the load-based fee, whichever is the higher.

The relationship between pollutant load and licence fees under the LBL scheme is illustrated in **Figure 1**, which shows that licence fees increase at a constant rate proportional to the amount of pollution released until the fee rate threshold is reached (described below), above which licence fees increase at a higher rate until the annual load limit is reached (if a load limit has been included on a licence). If the load limit is exceeded, the EPA will determine the appropriate compliance and enforcement actions in accordance with the EPA's Compliance Policy (see www.epa.nsw.gov.au/legislation/130251epacompl.htm).




Figure 1: Fees payable under the LBL scheme

Load limits may be added to licences on a case by case basis. Where required, load limits may be applied for each type of assessable pollutant released from the premises. This ensures that increases in production do not cause an unregulated increase in pollution over time.

Calculating LBL fees

Load-based fees increase with the quantity and harmfulness of emissions. To determine the total LBL fees for a facility, the pollutant load fee is estimated for each assessable pollutant released to water or air and then the total fees are summed. The formulae consider:

- the kind of pollution discharged
- the sensitivity of the receiving environment
- measures taken to minimise the impact of the pollutant
- whether the operator has committed to reducing a pollution load in the future, and
- whether two or more fee-based activities apply for a pollutant at each licensed facility.




Figure 2: Elements of the load-based fee calculation

There is a standard and a more complex fee formula for pollutant load fees; the standard one is:

Formula A: Pollutant load fee (\$) =
$$AL \times PW \times CZ \times PFU$$

10,000

Each component of the fee formula is illustrated in **Figure 2** and described in more detail below.

The **assessable load (AL)** is the basis of the load-based fee. The assessable load is the least of the actual, weighted or agreed loads for each assessable pollutant.

- The **actual load** is the annual mass of emissions of an assessable pollutant (in kilograms) and is measured in accordance with the Load Calculation Protocol.
- The weighted load is the actual load discounted by a factor to recognise actions taken to reduce the environmental impacts of the emissions. LBL recognises that certain methods of managing pollution loads can reduce environmental harm without reducing pollution loads. Licensees are encouraged to apply such methods through the provision of 'pollutant weighting' factors that reduce fees. In many cases, these methods can achieve superior environmental protection at a lower cost than the sophisticated treatment technologies. Methods of harm reduction currently eligible for weighted load discounts are effluent reuse, flow-optimised discharges (including participation in the Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme) and approved green offsets.

 The agreed load is a future pollutant load agreed with the EPA under a load reduction agreement. This will reduce the assessable load of selected assessable pollutants. The licensee then pays a reduced annual fee as if planned pollution reduction improvements have already been achieved. Load reduction agreements can free up funds that would have been paid as fees for investment in improved environmental performance.

The **pollutant weighting (PW)** accounts for the impact of the pollutant. Each assessable pollutant has been given a weighting, ranging from zero (salt discharged to open coastal waters) to 930,000 (pesticides and PCBs to all waters), to reflect its potential to cause environmental damage. The approach to developing weightings for assessable pollutants was based on internationally accepted Life Cycle Impact Assessment methodology (ISO14040). For water pollutants, weightings vary depending on the type of receiving water (open coastal, estuarine or enclosed). Refer to **Appendix 3** for pollutant weightings.

The pollutant **critical zone weighting (CZ)** accounts for the sensitivity of a specific geographical area of NSW to a specific pollutant. Such weightings are used where there is a need to reduce pollutants released to the environment that may contribute to an adverse cumulative impact. Some receiving environments are already constrained in their ability to assimilate particular types of pollutants; for example the Sydney airshed, where nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds are discharged from a range of sources and may result in exceedances of ground-level ozone standards. Refer to **Appendix 4** for critical zones and weightings.

The **pollutant fee unit (PFU)** is the dollar value component of the load fee calculation formula and it is applied consistently regardless of the pollutant or activity in question. Increasing PFU amounts are included in the Regulation to ensure that the fee is not eroded by inflation. It is currently set at \$44.78 (for the 2014–15 financial year).

The **more complex formula** is applied when a licensee is considered to be a 'poor performer' under the scheme. This formula is used where the licensee's assessable load of a pollutant is greater than a pre-determined **fee rate threshold (FRT)**.

Formula B: Pollutant load fee (\$) =
$$(2AL - FRT) \times PW \times CZ \times PFU$$

10,000

The licensee determines the **FRT** by multiplying their production (for example, tank capacity or electricity generation) for the reporting period by the relevant FRT **factor** (an emissions intensity threshold set out in Schedule 1 of the Regulation for each pollutant and activity). If the licensee's assessable load of the pollutant exceeds the FRT, the licensee must pay double the 'assessable load' fee for the portion of emissions that exceed the FRT.

FRT factors are intended to represent a level of emission intensity that can be reasonably achieved in the sector using modern technology.

Additional calculations are required when the same pollutant is released from a single facility that undertakes two or more fee-based activities. In this case, the licensee essentially estimates the pollutant load fee for each activity separately using the appropriate formulae; either the standard formula (Formula A) or the more complex formula (Formula B). The resulting fees are added to determine the pollutant load fee for the specific pollutant for the whole facility.

Worked examples to calculate LBL fees

The following two worked examples demonstrate how the LBL fee is calculated for situations that require the use of Formula A and Formula B respectively. Note that these worked examples are hypothetical and do not represent the circumstances of actual licensees under the LBL Scheme.

Overview and facts about load-based licensing

Formula A worked example

A small sewage treatment processing plant in the Murrumbidgee catchment area treats 4500 megalitres of sewage while discharging 522 kg of phosphorus in a reporting period. In accordance with the Load Calculation Protocol, the facility has estimated its weighted load as 450 kg. The facility has no agreed load. Using this information, the assessable load (AL) of this facility is considered to be 450 kg, which is the lowest of these loads.

The facility must determine if the standard or more complex formula is required. This is done by first calculating the applicable fee rate threshold. The fee rate threshold factor for phosphorus discharged from small sewage treatment plants is 0.3 (found in **Schedule 1** of the General Regulation and reproduced in **Appendix 2** of this fact sheet). Multiplying the fee rate threshold factor by the production for the fee period gives the fee rate threshold.

4500 megalitres
$$\chi$$
 0.3 = 1350 kg (production for the fee period) (FRT factor for phosphorus) (FRT)

If the facility's assessable load of phosphorus is less than 1350 kg for the reporting period, then the pollutant load fee would be calculated using the standard formula. In this case the facility's assessable load of phosphorus is 450 kg, well below the fee rate threshold of 1,350 kg. The facility uses Formula A to calculate the pollutant load fee.

Other variables in the equation can be obtained from tables in Schedule 2 of the General Regulation, which are reproduced in **Appendix 3** and **Appendix 4** of this fact sheet. **Table A3b** shows that the pollutant weighting (PW) for total phosphorus discharged into enclosed waters is 680. **Table A4b** shows that the critical zone (CZ) weighting for total phosphorus discharged in the Murrumbidgee catchment is 3. The pollutant fee unit (PFU) is set at \$44.78 for the 2014–15 financial year.

Inserting these values into **Formula A** gives a pollutant load fee for total phosphorus of \$4110.80.

$$\frac{AL \times PW \times CZ \times PFU}{10,000} = \frac{450 \text{ kg} \times 680 \times 3 \times $44.78}{10,000} = $4110.80$$

Formula B worked example

A ceramic production facility in the Fairfield LGA produced 20,000 tonnes of bricks and emitted 2000 kg of coarse particulates. The fee rate threshold must be calculated first to work out the applicable fee formula. The fee rate threshold factor for coarse particulates is listed in Schedule 1 under ceramics production and is 0.085. Multiplying the fee rate threshold factor by the production of the facility yields a fee rate threshold of 1700 kg.

20,000 tonnes of bricks
$$X$$
 0.085 = 1700 kg (production for the fee period) (FRT factor for coarse particulates) (FRT)

If the facility's load of coarse particulates had been less than 1700 kg for the reporting period, then the pollutant load fee would be calculated using Formula A. In this case the facility emitted 2000 kg, exceeding the 1700 kg fee rate threshold calculated above. The facility must use **Formula B** to calculate the pollutant load fee.

Again, other variables in the equation can be obtained from tables in Schedule 2 of the General Regulation, which are reproduced in **Appendix 3** and **Appendix 4** of this fact sheet. **Table A3a** shows that the pollutant weighting (PW) for coarse particulates is 18. **Table A4a** shows that Fairfield LGA is not a critical zone (CZ) for coarse particulates, as the pollutant is not listed, so the CZ variable is taken to be 1. The pollutant fee unit (PFU) is set at \$44.78 for the 2014–15 financial year, as previously stated.

Inserting these values into the standard formula gives a pollutant load fee for coarse particulates of \$185.39.

$$\frac{(2AL - FRT) \times PW \times CZ \times PFU}{10,000} = \frac{(2 \times 2000 \text{ kg} - 1700 \text{ kg}) \times 18 \times 1 \times \$44.78}{10,000} = \$185.39$$

Options to reduce LBL fees

Load-based licensing provides industry with a number of ways to reduce the fees they pay. Each of the following approaches reduces both the environmental impact of pollution and the LBL fee.

Reduce the actual pollutant load

A licensee would pay lower fees by reducing the amount of pollution released, or producing less damaging types of pollution. Since the load is based on total emissions from a site, the licensee can channel resources into improving those parts of the operation that result in the biggest pollution reductions at the lowest cost.

Reduce the impact of the pollutants on the environment

Fees can be decreased by reducing the environmental impact of the pollution released; through effluent reuse, flow optimised discharges, approved green offsets or other techniques permitted in the Load Calculation Protocol. In this instance, the fee will be calculated (or 'weighted') as if the actual load has been reduced. In some cases the weighted load could be zero. In many cases, good pollution management methods can protect the environment better and at lower cost than sophisticated treatment technology.

Effluent reuse

One method of harm reduction currently eligible for fee discounts is effluent reuse. The sustainable reuse of treated wastewater, to irrigate crops or in industrial processes (e.g. cooling water), can reduce liable fees on certain assessable pollutants in that effluent. This is provided strict environmental management criteria and public health requirements are met and any necessary planning consents and/or approvals from the NSW Office of Water have been granted and are being complied with.

For licensees who have water discharges, the incentive works in two ways – it influences the choice of management option, as well as the treatment level. For example, in certain circumstances, appropriately treated water from sewage treatment systems (STS), can be beneficially reused for watering certain crops, sports fields, parks and gardens. This can provide nutrients and water in dry inland areas, for example, where potable water supplies are constrained. Where wastewater is being reused in this way, it may not require the same high level of treatment to reduce the nutrient content at the facility, potentially reducing treatment costs.

Keeping these discharges out of local waterways while putting them to good use and reducing wastewater treatment costs at the same time can be a win/win/win outcome; however, other costs may be applicable where effluent is being reused, such as for treatments to reduce pathogen concentrations, for the storage and transport of effluent, and for ongoing monitoring, if required. In addition, approvals under other legislation (such as the *Local Government Act 1993*) are required for effluent reuse schemes. Reuse may be more cost-effective when a plant is designed with reuse in mind to minimise these costs.

Flow-optimised discharges

Pollutants discharged to rivers during periods of high flow may be flushed faster, thereby reducing environmental impact. This can attract a 50 per cent discount on discharges of assessable pollutant loads during peak flows in coastal rivers. An example of a flow optimisation approach is the Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme. Participants in that scheme who are also subject to the LBL scheme receive a 75 per cent discount on salt discharges, reflecting the fact that discharges are managed to coincide with periods of high river flows and are limited to ensure salinity targets are not exceeded.

Overview and facts about load-based licensing

Green offsets

An offset is an action taken outside a licensed premise that compensates for the impact of pollution from a scheduled activity carried out at that premises. Part 9.3B of the POEO Act establishes the legislative framework for green offset works and green offset schemes.

In relation to LBL, a green offset work or scheme must be approved by the EPA and implemented either through regulations or via specific licence conditions relating to the work or scheme. Weighted loads for pollutants offset by the work or scheme are calculated in accordance with the Load Calculation Protocol.

Load reduction agreements

Licensees may enter into a voluntary load reduction agreement with the EPA to obtain fee savings in return for future pollutant load reductions. If an operator intends to introduce plant or operational changes that will reduce pollutant loads in the future, the EPA may agree to base the current fee on the future loads rather than the current loads. Using this approach, money that would otherwise be paid in fees can be used to make the changes needed to reduce pollution.

Appendix 1: Scheduled activities

Table A1: All scheduled activities under the POEO Act, with those that are subject to the load-based licensing scheme highlighted grey.

Agricultural processing

Dairy processing

General agricultural processing

Grape processing

Aquaculture and mariculture

Aquaculture and mariculture

Breweries and distilleries

Breweries and distilleries

Cement or lime works

Cement or lime handling

Cement or lime production*

Ceramic works

Ceramic waste generation

Ceramics production*

Production of container glass*

Production of float glass*

Production of other glass*

Chemical production

Agricultural fertiliser (inorganic) production

Agricultural fertiliser (phosphate) production

Ammonium nitrate production

Battery production

Carbon black production

Chemical production waste generation

Dangerous goods production

Explosives production

Paints/polishes/adhesives production

Pesticides and related products production

Pesticides and related products (toxic substances) production

Petrochemical production

Pharmaceutical and veterinary products production

Plastic resins production

Plastic reprocessing

Rubber products/tyres production

Soap and detergents production

Soap and detergents (toxic substances) production

Synthetic rubber production

Toxic substance production

Chemical storage

Chemical storage waste generation

General chemicals storage

Petroleum products storage

Coal works

Coal works

Coke production

Coke production

Composting

Composting

Concrete works

Concrete works

Container reconditioning

Container reconditioning

Contaminated soil treatment

Contaminated soil treatment

Contaminated groundwater treatment

Contaminated groundwater treatment

Crushing, grinding or separating

Crushing, grinding or separating

Electricity generation

Generation of electrical power from coal*

Generation of electrical power from diesel*

Generation of electrical power from gas*

Generation of electrical power otherwise than from coal, diesel or gas

Electricity generation (wind farms)

Energy recovery

Energy recovery from general waste

Energy recovery from hazardous and other waste

Extractive activities

Land-based extractive activity

Water-based extractive activity

Helicopter-related activities

Helicopter-related activity

Irrigated agriculture

Irrigated agriculture

Livestock intensive industries

Animal accommodation

Bird accommodation

Cattle, sheep or horse accommodation

Dairy animal accommodation

Pig accommodation

Livestock processing industries

General animal products production

Greasy wool or fleece processing

Rendering or fat extraction

Slaughtering or processing animals

Tanneries or fellmongeries

Logging operations

Logging operations

Marinas and boat repair

Boat construction/ maintenance (dry/floating docks)

Boat construction/ maintenance (general)

Boat mooring and storage

Metallurgical activities

Aluminium production (alumina)

Aluminium production (scrap metal)

Iron or steel production (iron ore)

Iron or steel production (scrap metal)

Metal coating

Metal processing

Metal waste generation

Non-ferrous metal production (ore concentrates)

Non-ferrous metal production (scrap metal)

Scrap metal processing

Mineral processing

Mineral processing

Mineral waste generation

Mining for coal

Mining for coal

Mining for minerals

Mining for minerals

Paper or pulp production

Paper or pulp production

Paper or pulp waste generation

Petroleum exploration, assessment & production

Petroleum exploration, assessment and production*

Petroleum products and fuel production

Petroleum products and fuel production*

Printing, packaging and visual communications

Printing, packaging and visual communications waste generation

Railway systems activities

Railway systems activities

Resource recovery

Recovery of general waste

Recovery of hazardous and other waste

Recovery of waste oil*

Recovery of waste tyres

Road construction

Road construction

Sewage treatment

Processing by small plants (up to 10,000 megalitres annual capacity)*

Processing by large plants (more than 10,000

megalitres annual capacity)*

Shipping in bulk

Shipping in bulk

Sterilisation activities

Sterilisation activities

Waste disposal (application to land)

Waste disposal by application to land

Waste disposal (thermal treatment)

Thermal treatment of general waste

Thermal treatment of hazardous and other waste

Waste processing (non-thermal treatment)

Non-thermal treatment of general waste

Non-thermal treatment of hazardous and other waste

Non-thermal treatment of liquid waste

Non-thermal treatment of waste oil

Non-thermal treatment of waste tyres

Waste storage

Waste storage

Wood or timber milling or processing

Wood or timber milling or processing

Wood preservation

Wood preservation

Mobile waste processing

Mobile waste processing

Transport of trackable waste

Transport of category 1 trackable waste

Transport of category 2 trackable waste

Miscellaneous water activities

Misc. Licensed discharge to waters (wet weather only)

Miscellaneous licensed discharge to waters (any time)

^{*}Load-based fees apply when the activity reaches a specified production threshold.

Appendix 2: Assessable pollutants for scheduled activities

Table A2a: Scheduled activities subject to the LBL scheme with applicable assessable air pollutants and FRT factors for each activity

			<u>ə</u>									
cheduled activity	Arsenic	Benzene	Benzo(a)pyrene (equiv.)	Coarse particulates	Fine particulates	Fluoride	Hydrogen sulfide	Lead	Mercury	NO _x and NO _x (summer)*	SO _x *	VOCs &VOCs (summer)*
ement or lime works												
ement or lime production				0.1	0.28			0.00061	0.00054	3.2	0.16	
eramic works	,											
eramics production				0.085	0.11	0.12				0.22	0.53	
roduction of container glass	0.00028			0.05	0.05			0.0018		4.02	3.12	
roduction of float glass				0.11	0.11					2.00	3.64	
roduction of other glass				2.75	2.75					4.29	4.16	
hemical production												
gricultural fertiliser (phosphate) production				0.022	0.13	1.1						
mmonium nitrate production				0.77	0.33					1.5		
arbon black production			0.005		0.3					11.0	8.0	0.4
aints/polishes/adhesives production		0.015			0.035					0.068		2.1
etrochemical production		0.25			0.02					0.96		0.5
astic resins production		0.00073			0.041					0.092		8.5
astic reprocessing		0.00073			0.041					0.092		8.5
hemical storage	- !	!	!	ļ						!		
etroleum products storage		0.0005										0.05
oke production												
oke production	0.00085	0.028	0.00003	0.22	0.3		0.002	0.0021	0.0022	0.03	0.4	0.015
ectricity generation												
eneration of electrical power from coal	0.0037		0.00066	80.0	54.0	14.0		0.019	0.0042	2,700	5,300	
eneration of electrical power from diesel			0.0036		54.0					2,700	2,650	76
eneration of electrical power from gas										1,655	_	_

www.epa.nsw.gov.au

^{*} NO_x = nitrogen oxides; SO_x = sulfur oxides; VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Table A2b: Scheduled activities subject to the LBL scheme with applicable assessable water pollutants and FRT factors for each activity

Scheduled activity	Arsenic	BOD*	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Oil and grease	Pesticides & PCBs*	Salt	Selenium	Suspended solids	Total nitrogen	Total PAHs*	Total phenolics	Total phosphorus	Zinc
Cement or lime works																	
Cement or lime production																	
Ceramic works																	
Ceramics production																	
Production of container glass																	
Production of float glass																	
Production of other glass																	
Chemical production																	
Agricultural fertiliser (phosphate) production																0.002	
Ammonium nitrate production													0.11				
Carbon black production																	
Paints/polishes/adhesives production																	
Petrochemical production																	
Plastic resins production																	
Plastic reprocessing																	
Chemical storage																	
Petroleum products storage																	
Coke production																	
Coke production								0.011				0.13		0.000032	0.000032		
Electricity generation																	
Generation of electrical power from coal										3.6	0.025	0.18					
Generation of electrical power from diesel																	
Generation of electrical power from gas										0.0029		0.066					

www.epa.nsw.gov.au

Scheduled activity	Arsenic	BOD*	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Oil and grease	Pesticides & PCBs*	Salt	Selenium	Suspended solids	Total nitrogen	Total PAHs*	Total phenolics	Total phosphorus	Zinc
Energy recovery																	
Energy recovery from general waste																	
Energy recovery from hazardous and other waste																	
Metallurgical activities																	
Aluminium production (alumina)																	
Aluminium production (scrap metal)																	
Iron or steel production (iron ore)	0.0091		0.0018	0.0054	0.0036	0.0018	0.000091	0.015			0.00091	0.24					0.0091
Iron or steel production (scrap metal)																	
Non-ferrous metal production (ore concentrates)	0.0003		0.0003	0.0003	0.0026	0.03	0.0003				0.0003	0.78					0.02
Non-ferrous metal production (scrap metal)																	
Paper or pulp production																	
Paper or pulp production		0.41								3.0		0.57	0.078			0.001	0.0013
Petroleum exploration, assessment and production	n																
Petroleum exploration, assessment and production		0.14						0.12		3.6		0.36		0.07	0.27		
Petroleum products and fuel production																	
Petroleum products and fuel production		0.0034						0.0015				0.0052		0.000005	0.00011		
Resource recovery																	
Recovery of waste oil								4.8									
Sewage treatment																	
Processing by small plants (up to 10,000 megalitres annual capacity)		10						2				15	10			0.3	
Processing by large plants (more than 10,000 megalitres annual capacity)		10	0.00005	0.0025	0.01	0.0005	0.00005	2	0.00012		0.0025	15	10			0.3	0.012
Waste disposal (thermal treatment)																	
Thermal treatment of general waste																	
Thermal treatment of hazardous and other waste			l	l													l

^{*} BOD = Biochemical oxygen demand; PCBs = Polychlorinated biphenyls; PAHs = Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Appendix 3: Pollutant weightings

Table A3a: Pollutant weightings for assessable air pollutants included in the LBL scheme

Pollutant	Weighting
Arsenic	52,000
Benzene	740
Benzo[a]pyrene (equivalent)	29,000
Coarse particulates	18
Fine particulates	125
Fluoride	84
Hydrogen sulfide	320
Lead	11,000
Mercury	110,000
NO _x and NO _x (summer)*	9
SO _x *	2.2
VOCs and VOCs (summer)*	6.6

^{*} NO_x = nitrogen oxides; SO_x = sulfur oxides; VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Table A3b: Pollutant weightings for assessable water pollutants included in the LBL scheme

Pollutant	Open coastal waters~	Estuarine waters#	Enclosed waters^
Arsenic	2,500	2,500	2,500
BOD*	0	0.5	1
Cadmium	67,000	67,000	67,000
Chromium	840	4,200	4,200
Copper	1,700	1,700	1,700
Lead	6,400	6,400	6,400
Mercury	180,000	180,000	180,000
Oil and grease	13	30	74
Pesticides and PCBs*	930,000	930,000	930,000
Salt**	0	0	8.4
Selenium	710	10,000	10,000
Total nitrogen	6	12	23
Total PAHs*	3,800	3,800	3,800
Total phenolics	4,900	4,900	4,900
Total phosphorus	0	120	680
Total suspended solids	9.5	9.5	78
Zinc	7	7	7

^{*} BOD = Biochemical oxygen demand; PCBs = Polychlorinated biphenyls; PAHs = Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

^{**} The pollutant weighting for salt is zero if the salt is discharged into naturally salty surface waters with an electrical conductivity of more than 10,000 micro siemens per centimetre.

[~] ocean waters east of the natural coastline of NSW, defined in Schedule 3 of the Regulation.

[#] waters (other than open coastal waters) that are ordinarily subject to tidal influence; that have a mean tidal range greater than 800 mm (being the average difference between the mean high water mark and the mean low water mark, expressed in millimetres, over the course of a year).

[^]all waters other than open coastal waters or estuarine waters.

Appendix 4: Critical zones & weightings

Table A4a: Critical zones and weightings for air pollutants

Pollutant	Local government areas (LGAs) in zone	Weighting
NO _x and VOCs	LGAs in the Sydney basin area* Blue Mountains City Kiama Shellharbour City Wollongong City	7 (or 28)*
NO _x and VOCs	Cessnock City Gosford City Lake Macquarie City Maitland City Muswellbrook Newcastle City Port Stephens Singleton Wollondilly Wyong	2

Note: CZ equals 1 for NO_x and VOC released outside the areas listed above and for all other air pollutants.

 $^{^{\}star}$ A CZ weighting of 28 applies in the Sydney basin area during the summer months for NO $_{\!X}$ and VOCs




Table A4b: Critical zones and weightings for water pollutants

Pollutant	Catchments in zone	Weighting
Salt	Benanee	3
Total phosphorus	Bulloo River	
Total nitrogen	Castlereagh	
	Condamine/Culgoa	
	Cooper Creek	
	Darling	
	Lachlan	
	Lake Bancannia	
	Lake Frome	
	Macquarie River	
	Moonie	
	Murray Riverina	
	Murray (Lower)	
	Murray (Upper)	
	Murrumbidgee	
	Paroo	
	Warrego	
Total phosphorus	Border Rivers	3
Total nitrogen	Gwydir	
	Hawkesbury-Nepean	
	Namoi	

Note: CZ equals 1 for salt, total phosphorous and total nitrogen discharged outside the areas listed in Table A4b and for all other water pollutants.

