



Respondent No: 242

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 30, 2018 19:16:22 pm

Last Seen: Jun 30, 2018 19:16:22 pm

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **First name** William
-
- Q2. **Last name** Douglas
-
- Q3. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Mobile** not answered
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** Australia
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Individual
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** not answered
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** No
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** No
- Q16. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**
Automatic renewal of disastrous IFOAs for 20 years - raced through parliament with lip service to this submission process!!! These are important parts of a highly deficient, egregiously biased process.
- Q17. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**
What? Read the above. The process is a sham.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Introduction of industrial scale logging even in selective logging regimes. Only 10 squared m per Ha!! Loss of protected stream reserves and buffers. No increases to FCNSW pre and post logging fauna survey requirements, which are currently grossly deficient. No return to third party rights to seek remedies for logging licence breaches that occur frequently in operations. No attention at all to the role of stored carbon in publicly owned state forests in the accounting required by NSW and the Federal government to meet their obligations under the 2015 Paris Climate Change Accord.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

They haven't worked to date. Our forest estate has been trashed by logging contractors under the permissive gaze of FCNSW. Criminal in action and intent.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No, seriously deficient, as the 5 yearly reviews would have revealed if they had been done!

Q21. General comments

This is a completely flawed process. The renewal of IFOAs for another 20 years has been announced by the government already and they are a fait accompli. Indeed, I am amazed that I have even bothered with this submission, and have only done so so that FCNSW and the NSW government can't claim that since there are is no opposition, then the public is inside. We are NOT! These IFOAs will entrench conflict in public forests for another 20 years. I dream of an EPA that had teeth and was not afraid to use them.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
