



**Respondent No:** 351

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 06, 2018 20:23:05 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 06, 2018 20:23:05 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. <b>First name</b>	Terry
Q2. <b>Last name</b>	Wall
Q3. <b>Phone</b>	██████████
Q4. <b>Mobile</b>	██████████
Q5. <b>Email</b>	████████████████████
Q6. <b>Postcode</b>	████
Q7. <b>Country</b>	Australia
Q8. <b>Stakeholder type</b>	Individual
Q9. <b>Stakeholder type - Other</b>	not answered
Q10. <b>Stakeholder type - Staff</b>	not answered
Q11. <b>Organisation name</b>	Educated Observer
Q12. <b>What is your preferred method of contact?</b>	Email
Q13. <b>Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?</b>	Yes
Q14. <b>Can the EPA make your submission public?</b>	Yes
Q15. <b>Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?</b>	Yes

**Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**

Life on this planet without trees, is like trying to thrive without lungs. From the Shar of Iran (Planted 16000 trees around the city of Tehran and according to Iranians that I spoke with, changed the climate, ) to the current successes that China has had rehabilitating the desertification of North /Western China [http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2017-08/30/content\\_31308400.htm](http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2017-08/30/content_31308400.htm)

**Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

Recent trial work in Canada has shown that selective harvesting of high value forests is not a negative, but retains the biodiversity and increases the productivity by near 25%. Trees reach a period or stage of virtual dormancy once they reach their biological maturity. From then on all they do is suppress the next lot of naturally occurring replacements.  
[http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\\$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/apa3316](http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/apa3316)

---

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

See above

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

Expensive and hard to police. One policy with possible variations of strictness in truly rare forests

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

If it fits in with the above, then that is fine. Remember there is more work and more sustainability (carbon absorption) in a more productive forest.

---

**Q21. General comments**

not answered

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

---

**Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

**Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---