



Respondent No: 412

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 07, 2018 19:25:03 pm

Last Seen: Jul 07, 2018 19:25:03 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Peter
Q2. Last name	Martin
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	not answered
Q8. Stakeholder type	Industry group
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	Tawmar timbers
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	Yes

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

The changes to stream management. As a logging contractor who specialises in the supply of turpentine marine piles (and has been involved with this product for more than 30 years) we source a high percentage of our piles around what is currently unmapped drainage lines all but the ones growing in the channel. This is were they grow often at their best. We rarely encounter them in larger order streams so we will be impacted significantly. Please see general comments

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

Turpentine piles are a magnificent natural product. Used since shortly after settlement they have been the platform of wharf building along the east coast most especially Sydney Harbour. They are still used today and demand for them exists particularly in heritage works. Indeed recently we supplied hundreds of piles to the refurbishment of the MHAS PENGUIN wharf a deep water pier requiring many long piles a high percentage of which we sourced from unmapped drainage lines that under the new IFOA will be unavailable . The alternatives to turpentine are concrete and/or steel piles. Huge amounts of energy go into the manufacture of these not to mention the materials ripped out of the ground leaving giant holes you can probably see from outer space. Are these the most environmentally friendly ? Or perhaps the other option hardwood poles cut from forests then treated with the filthiest of chemicals that every wharf builder detests working with when the sawdust burns his eyes and prolonged contact burns his skin. And then once installed and "oil slick " oozes out of them into the water for some time to come. The ocean must love that ? You are the EPA you know best go ahead and protect all the little drainage lines in the forests that never run water except when a savage east coast low dumps four to five hundred mls of rain over a couple of days. I know for a fact that the changes to the stream protection will impact Turpentine pile availability most particularly the longest piles as this is were they love to grow. In fact two years ago 3 piles were needed at Lunar park 22 metres long (a fairly exceptional length) we supplied these 2 of which came from unmapped drainage lines that under the new IFOA we would not be able to get. And you know what ? We did no harm getting these piles and nearby to them were other magnificent young turpentines reaching up to the sky for light which would make great long piles in another 50 years if as a community we still want to use them or perhaps the steel the concrete or the filthy chemical preservation wooden piles (perhaps sourced from mono tree species plantations) is what we truly want you be the judge but I know what I think.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
