



Respondent No: 288

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 04, 2018 11:58:16 am

Last Seen: Jul 04, 2018 11:58:16 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Stephen
Q2. Last name	Cartwright
Q3. Phone	██████████
Q4. Mobile	██████████
Q5. Email	not answered
Q6. Postcode	████
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Phone
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

The industrial approach to harvesting our forest with little concern for a sustainable future. The changes will lead to degradation of the water catchments which could lead to massive infrastructure expenses in the future.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

No positive outcomes when you ignore the Threatened Species Expert Panel Final report and breach social license.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The logging rules will be weakened which will remove protections for threatened species, koalas, old growth, rain forest, waterways. Intensive logging and over-harvesting destroys habitat, carbon sinks, tourism opportunities, provision of clean, abundant water, and other public good. AND logging industry security is not guaranteed.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The multi-scale landscape has developed over millions of years and your plans are destroying it in a relatively short period of time. How about committing to far more responsible planning and not be bowled over by vested interest groups?

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. There is not enough forest to answer both environmental values and a sustainable timber industry. This was the determination of the Natural Resources Commission. Government are ignoring this and need to get out off the over-commitment to Boral and other industry businesses.

Q21. General comments

I am disappointed that this government has bowed to industry pressures and ignored independent scientific advice. A responsible government would take on board an approach that would lead to a far more sustainable future in both environmental and economic outcomes.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
