



**Respondent No:** 432

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 08, 2018 12:35:31 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 08, 2018 12:35:31 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

- Q1. **First name** Paula
- 
- Q2. **Last name** Bowler
- 
- Q3. **Phone** not answered
- 
- Q4. **Mobile** [REDACTED]
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** Australia
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Community group
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**  
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**  
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** Ms
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** No
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** No

**Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**

The protection of our wildlife. This extra deforestation and lost of habitat will, no doubt, put extra pressure on all our wildlife. Animals can not live without trees for food, reproductive shelters and protection. This is a selfish short-sighted project which benefits a few people and destroys so much flora and fauna.

**Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

There are no positive environmental outcomes. How can there possibly be?

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

The vast area to be harvested. The area near our coasts are our most diverse and they are rapidly being destroyed. Blind Freddy can see what's happening and yes people do care.

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

Multi-scale protection is very important. But harvested areas need to be much more restrictive. Nobody believes that the 'permanent protections for native plants and animals' is possible within this extensive area. If this plan goes ahead it is with the understanding that our wildlife will suffer. E.g What is the point of keeping hollow bearing trees in the middle of a bare landscape leaving animals open to all sorts of dangers.

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

We are continuing to lose our native flora and fauna at an alarming rate. Mainly due to habitat loss. Is there no end to it? We have a very special place and we are hell bent on ruining it for short term monetary gain. We need visionaries who see the value of our trees past the \$. Sustainable timber industry yes but a small area on already cleared areas.

---

**Q21. General comments**

not answered

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

---

**Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

**Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---