



Respondent No: 261

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 03, 2018 07:55:41 am

Last Seen: Jul 03, 2018 07:55:41 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name Paul

Q2. Last name Tait

Q3. Phone not answered

Q4. Mobile not answered

Q5. Email not answered

Q6. Postcode [REDACTED]

Q7. Country Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other

not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff

not answered

Q11. Organisation name not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact? Email

**Q13. Would you like to receive further information
and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public? Yes

**Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on
forestry issues?** Yes

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

All of it

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None of it. From the degrading policy on Koalas, the stripping and damaging of die back forests, the reduced distance from water courses. Removal of old growth left before. Clear felling , examples already on the ground.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I cannot see any area that this proposal seriously looks after the forest.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

That Forestry can not supply timber to the industry now is testament that your policy has failed and that this will make it worse. It looks like supply can only degrade to the point that in the next few years the industry will collapse.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

NO! So far the policy has failed. Why do you think the new policy will improve the situation by stripping out more and degrading landscape to the point of collapse.

Q21. General comments

In the future this government will be seen as environmental vandals. On my property we have 20 Ha of joint venture forest that the Forest Dept handed back to us 5 years ago saying that they " could not afford to use it as they could by chips from Brazil more cheaply " through the 15 years not 1 part of the contract was honoured. Never looked after it and didnt plant the agreed species. Thats not all!

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

3)