



Respondent No: 152

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 24, 2018 08:45:27 am

Last Seen: Jun 24, 2018 08:45:27 am

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Mobile** not answered
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** Australia
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Individual
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** not answered
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** Yes
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes, but anonymous
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

The protection of environmentally significant areas, protection of old growth forests and retention of all hollow bearing trees in perpetuity. I also don't believe any Endangered Ecological Communities (EEC's) should be harvested under any circumstances and any known koala habitat should be an immediate red light for forestry operations.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The forestry demand cannot be met and is unrealistic. Plantation forestry should be where we harvest timber for a sustainable future. I do not think the coastal IFOA has a positive environmental outcome, especially in relation to old growth forests and EEC'S.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The impact on koalas and other threatened species has not been given enough consideration. The buffers and harvesting rules around environmentally significant areas category 1 and 2 should be changed to not allow any logging at all.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Not enough protection of habitat

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

I dont believe the balance is right, it is too biased towards the forestry industry, which is unsustainable. This industry cannot be sustained into the future, as a nation we need to look at viable alternatives to timber. The coastal forests are an asset we should protect, not destroy.

Q21. General comments

Please consider the protection of old growth forests, make sure the mapping is correct and includes everything that is here now not just what is present after harvesting. Protect EEC's, protect koalas and stay away from any environmentally significant areas.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
