



Respondent No: 445

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 09, 2018 11:05:25 am

Last Seen: Jul 09, 2018 11:05:25 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Louise
Q2. Last name	Taylor
Q3. Phone	[REDACTED]
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	Yes

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

I oppose the draft Coastal IFOA as it would allow the clearcutting of koala habitat. In addition, it would increase logging, including of old growth, at a time when we most need to preserve trees and biodiversity.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

No parts of the draft Coastal IFOA will have a positive environmental impact, as it allows clearcutting in forests that are home to threatened koalas and other wildlife. This clearcutting will also negatively impact the hydrology and air quality in the landscape. In the face of climate change, trees should NOT be logged.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The draft Coastal IFOA as a whole will have a negative impact and result in loss of forests, biodiversity, threatened wildlife.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The government lacks any political will to ensure protections are enforced as evidenced with the current mismanagement of our forests. This draft is just another attempt at greenwashing.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No, the draft does not provide any environmental protection and is a greenwash by the government.

Q21. General comments

I strongly oppose this draft and am appalled that the government is shilling the draft as sustainable logging. All old growth, forests generally and biodiversity should be fully and permanently protected to ensure koalas and other wildlife plus humans have a chance of surviving climate change.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
