



Respondent No: 607

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 13, 2018 22:10:05 pm

Last Seen: Jul 13, 2018 22:10:05 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	ilma
Q2. Last name	hynson
Q3. Phone	██████████
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	████████████████████
Q6. Postcode	████
Q7. Country	not answered
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

All parts of the draft are important as it seems to me there will be NO RESTRICTIONS in place to protect our forests, especially old trees, habitat trees, the weaker trees who do play there part in the natural scheme of the forests and of course our native wildlife.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I don't think any part of the draft considers sustainability, I can only see destruction and violation with the result being increased bank accounts for the people who have no regard for what they are destroying.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The NSW Government are putting NO RULES in place, only guide lines and as we know these can be interpreted to mean anything that again benefits the people with the most power. Why are we playing games here?

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Why do we think we own the forests and national parks? Aren't these questions ones of arrogance. Isn't it a given that we are the custodians and nothing more. This land invites us to live on it, not destroy it. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

The draft will only be effective if it greatly increases protection to the environment and native wildlife, rather than reducing and raping our native lands for greed. I do not see anything in this draft which has a benefit to nature and the environment we live in. There is no sustainability in place for the future.

Q21. General comments

The decision should be for protection of our environment and wildlife habitat. I feel sad to live in one of the worlds most beautiful continents and know Australia is being sacrificed for the dollar. I would love to think that this submission can make a difference..

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
