



Respondent No: 149

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 22, 2018 17:41:11 pm

Last Seen: Jun 22, 2018 17:41:11 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name Kit

Q2. Last name Kelen

Q3. Phone [REDACTED]

Q4. Mobile [REDACTED]

Q5. Email [REDACTED]

Q6. Postcode [REDACTED]

Q7. Country Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other

not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff

not answered

Q11. Organisation name not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact? Email

**Q13. Would you like to receive further information
and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public? Yes

**Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on
forestry issues?** No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

the parts about allowing more logging in our precious forests\, where creatures like koalas are threatened with extinction because of greed

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

none -- this is an entirely retrograde step and must be scrapped ... we have laws to protect our forests for good reasons and they need to be strengthened, not weakened

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

all of it is negative, stupid, ignorant greedy and shows no foresight

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

these so-called protections are a sop... and anyone thinking about them seriously sees through them

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

no absolutely not logging needs to be gradually scaled back, to protect jobs and communities but with the key aim of preserving the forest

Q21. General comments

some people can only see a forest of dollars some people can only see a resource to be exploited some people will tie their heads in knots pretending they're protecting the weak when they are really dancing on the graves of those who couldn't protect themselves some people have no care for the past they never paid attention they don't know that this has all happened before what do you think North Africa was before the Romans came? 2000 years haven't brought back the forest and all over Europe and China the same some people have no sense of responsibility to future generations they pretend they don't know they can't know there's no science take the money away from the experts then there'll be no more expertise to worry about that's how some people think but they won't admit it to themselves they live in bad faith they lie first to themselves then they lie to everyone they keep their lies conveniently they keep their hearts quite dark some people have no sense of responsibility to the world it is our duty to keep our forests healthy to let them grow and thrive it is our duty to reduce our impact on the forests, not to increase it let's have this Koala National Park people are talking about let's have koalas in the future let's stop pretending we're doing good when we're really doing evil let's wake up to ourselves

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered