



**Respondent No:** 604

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 13, 2018 18:27:02 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 13, 2018 18:27:02 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

- Q1. **First name** lan
- 
- Q2. **Last name** McKenzie
- 
- Q3. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Mobile** [REDACTED]
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** Australia
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Individual
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**  
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**  
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** not answered
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** Yes
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** Yes
- Q16. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**  
Environmental protection, protection of habitat, protection of threatened species. Native forest logging should be phased out completely and replaced with sustainable forestry based on world best practice modified to be appropriate to Australian conditions and Australian wildlife.
- Q17. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**  
not answered

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

Allowing the removal of hollow-bearing trees. 140 cm and 160 cm diameter trees are massive trees. Trees significantly smaller than these provide habitat hollows. Reduced stream buffers will have a negative outcome. Increases in logging intensity will have a negative outcome.

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

not answered

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

not answered

---

**Q21. General comments**

It is ridiculous to try and attempt to maintain logging quotas when they clearly are resulting in ongoing degradation and loss of native forest and the associated fauna.

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

---

**Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

**Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---