



**Respondent No:** 8

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** May 27, 2018 16:35:39 pm

**Last Seen:** May 27, 2018 16:35:39 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. First name	Donald
Q2. Last name	Macleod
Q3. Phone	[REDACTED]
Q4. Mobile	[REDACTED]
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	The omissions described below
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?	The draft Coastal IFOA appears to have covered every environmental value. The new mapping approaches will hopefully make the usual range of complaints about logging in unapproved areas more difficult to generate and sustain.

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

Despite 10's of pages and 100's of references to environment and conservation, there appears to be no interest in: 1 the economic and financial well-being of the local forestry industry and forest towns; 2 the societal well-being of these forest towns; 3 bush-fire protection in all its forms including fire trails, prescribed burning and forest management . Given the limited Agency consultation program and the dominance of conservation groups, this outcome is not surprising. However, these omissions should be corrected.

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

It must be worth a trial but see the comment above on lack of balance.

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

not answered

---

**Q21. General comments**

not answered

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

---

**Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

**Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---