



Respondent No: 511

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 11, 2018 22:23:39 pm

Last Seen: Jul 11, 2018 22:23:39 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Alan
Q2. Last name	Yuille
Q3. Phone	[REDACTED]
Q4. Mobile	[REDACTED]
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Mobile
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

Those parts allowing logging of old growth timbers. Those parts allowing logging closer to waterways than previously. Those parts allowing habitat fragmentation which will destroy wild life communities. This is all contrary to the best scientific guidelines on management of healthy environments.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Very little. It would seem to be strengthening Forestry at the expense of Environmental management. I doubt it is scientifically sustainable

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

It will allow over-harvesting of commercial species, destruction of non commercial habitat and food species. Excessive clearing will allow weed invasion, soil erosion, likely to lead to salinity problems.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

There will be a problem of supervision and compliance. Assessment of Environmental needs and factors need to be done by independent scientifically trained personnel, not employees of State Forests or commercial operators.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

Not in its present form. It is too biased for short term commercial gain. It needs long term scientific analysis

Q21. General comments

We need a sustainable timber industry. We also need to use our wood for the most useful purposes, of the highest value. We also need our tourist dollars so maintaining a beautiful and healthy wilderness feeling on our coastal area is essential

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
