



Respondent No: 255

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 02, 2018 15:40:34 pm

Last Seen: Jul 02, 2018 15:40:34 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Alan
Q2. Last name	Tarlinton
Q3. Phone	██████████
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	████████████████████
Q6. Postcode	████
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	not answered
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?	not answered

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

I oppose increasing logging intensity throughout all forests, which is what the new IFOA proposals would entail. I oppose rezoning the 140,000 ha of forests from Taree to Grafton to allow clearfelling at a rate of 2,200ha per annum. I oppose opening up protected oldgrowth for logging and remapping using a more limited definition which wipes out 78% of high conservation old growth. I oppose removing the need to identify and protect mature recruitment and eucalypt feed trees. I oppose removing the need to look for and protect core Koala habitat before logging, while zoning 43% of the highest quality Koala habit for clearfelling. I oppose removing the need to undertake pre-logging surveys and protection for most threatened species currently protected. I am concerned this will allow logging of the habitat of recognised World Heritage species and threaten unique rainforest ecosystems. These changes will allow intensive, unsustainable logging that will ruin forests and allow Bell Miner Associated Dieback to run rampant. I oppose halving riparian habitat protection from 10m to 5m, which will afford insignificant stream protection. I oppose removing most threatened fauna (ie barred frogs, golden-tipped bat) stream exclusions. Our forests are worth more standing. Our timber needs are already 86% met by plantations - a transition to 100% would be easy. I support the creation of the Great Koala National Park and oppose the renewal of the catastrophic RFA's. Public forests are for the public to enjoy, not for Boral to destroy.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
