Q1. **First name**  
Vanessa

Q2. **Last name**  
Cain

Q3. **Phone**

Q4. **Mobile**  
not answered

Q5. **Email**

Q6. **Postcode**

Q7. **Country**  
Australia

Q8. **Stakeholder type**  
Individual

Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**
not answered

Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**
not answered

Q11. **Organisation name**
not answered

Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?**  
Email

Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?**  
Yes

Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?**  
Yes

Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?**  
No

Q16. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**  
The environmental protections, particularly those that relate to wildlife habitat and tree retention clumps, and threatened species protections are totally inadequate and will result in a loss of an entire suite of fauna, their habitat, and loss of overall biodiversity.
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Based on the information in the draft Coastal IFOA there will be a negative outcome on the environment at multiple scales. There is nothing sustainable about the methods of timber harvesting that are proposed. It clearly shows that the intent is to move away from rules and instead use guidelines that are flexible and would suit to benefit logging and business at the expense of the environment.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

All parts negatively impact the environment. In particular, the reduction of buffer zones around headwater streams from 10 metres to 5 metres will have a detrimental effect on water quality at a catchment scale. Removing survey requirements to actually check for koalas prior to felling will amount to unnecessary deaths and would have a serious impact on populations in areas where clear felling is proposed.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The environmental protections at all scales are insufficient. The degree to which logging is proposed doesn't provide ample opportunity to implement worthwhile protection.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. The draft Coastal IFOA will not be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry. This is because it is skewed towards timber harvesting on a greater scale with less environmental protection.

Q21. General comments

I support the creation of The Great Koala National Park that would provide wider environmental, social and economic benefits than opportunistic logging in an area that can no longer support the type of timber industry that the Liberal National Party continues to bow to.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered