Q1. First name
censored

Q2. Last name
censored

Q3. Phone
not answered

Q4. Mobile
censored

Q5. Email
**********

Q6. Postcode
censored

Q7. Country
Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type
Forest user group

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
not answered

Q11. Organisation name
not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
No

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
Yes, but anonymous

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
Keeping the forests open to the public

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
not answered
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I believe locking down the forest and prohibiting public access with registered vehicles and bicycles is an over reaction. This area is beautiful forest and should be kept open for the enjoyment of all.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

not answered

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered