Q1. First name

Q2. Last name

Q3. Phone

Q4. Mobile
   not answered

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country
   Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type
   Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
   not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
   not answered

Q11. Organisation name
   not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
   not answered

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
   No

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
   Yes, but anonymous

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
   No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
   not answered

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
   not answered
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

• all of the above listed planned changes because you believe the remaining public native forests have greater long term economic opportunities if left standing, such as nature based tourism • the destruction of values that intact, mature public native forests provide for the public good, biodiversity, downstream water users and climate change mitigation

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)  
not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)  
not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)  
not answered