Q1. First name
Q2. Last name
Q3. Phone
Q4. Mobile
not answered
Q5. Email
Q6. Postcode
Q7. Country
Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type
Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
not answered
Q11. Organisation name
not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
Yes, but anonymous
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?
The WHOLE draft is important to me because it should be withdrawn and replaced with a 5 year plan to transition out of native forest logging on public land.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
Nil
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Australia has lost much of its forest cover since colonization meaning that the remaining forest cover should be retained with as much biodiversity in tact as possible. "Harvesting" inevitably simplifies the natural biodiversity, breaking wildlife corridors, threatening already vulnerable fauna, and with decreased steam buffers, creating soil runoff.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The environmental protections should revert to the federal EPBC Act which provides greater protection. The exemption of FCNSW from these regulations has resulted in a shamefully low rate of prosecutions for multiple documented breaches over the past 20 years.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. it should be withdrawn and recrafted to transition out of native forest logging altogether.

Q21. General comments

The lack of resources directed to pre-logging (and post-logging) fauna and flora surveys ensures that valuable species are missed therfore an incomplete scientific picture of any particular compartment is painted. This ensures that exclusion zones are limited in area to a largely inadequate degree. The Forest estate can be far better used to enhance its other values for recreation, carbon storage, tourism, sport etc.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) 

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) 

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) 

not answered