Q1. First name

Q2. Last name

Q3. Phone
not answered

Q4. Mobile
not answered

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country
Australia

Q8. Stakeholder type
Individual

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other
not answered

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff
not answered

Q11. Organisation name
not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?
Email

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?
No

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?
Yes, but anonymous

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?
No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

I am very concerned about maintaining environmental protections and don't believe the current proposal will effectively protect threatened species or high value forest areas such as old growth. The pressures on threatened species are now so great that any areas of natural habitats are important to protect. I also believe that logging rules are not followed and cannot be applied effectively. I have seen bell miner dieback spreading over the last 20 years, starting from logging areas and now covering vast areas of forest. This break down of the ecosystem is alarming and all efforts must be made to stop further damage to affected or susceptible forests. I am also concerned at the amount of public money spent to prop up the logging industry.
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

I think all public state forests should be dedicated as national parks to protect water catchment values, threatened and native species habitats, carbon sink values, tourism potential and intrinsic values. It makes no sense to spend public money to help the timber industry create major damage to ecosystems when so much of our community is working to fix the environment and so much public and private money is spent regenerating and restoring native habitats.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)  
not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)  
not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)  
not answered