Q1. First name  nick
Q2. Last name  king
Q3. Phone  [redacted]
Q4. Mobile  [redacted]
Q5. Email  [redacted]
Q6. Postcode  [redacted]
Q7. Country  Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type  Environment group
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other  not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff  not answered
Q11. Organisation name  Environmentally Concerned Citizens of Orange
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?  Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?  Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?  Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?  Yes
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?  not answered
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?  not answered
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?
not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?
not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?
not answered

Q21. General comments

We do not support the proposed amendments to the Integrated Forestry Operations Approval for the following reasons. The IFOA proposes the clearfelling of a significant number of hectares of coastal forests and permitting the logging of old growth trees, which goes against best practice forest management. Instead of using forest management strategies for the protection of forests, threatened species and wildlife, the IFOA will exacerbate the threats to an already stressed and declining system. The best use of our forests is to protect wildlife and encourage tourism, protect water catchments and store carbon. What is proposed is not only reckless and irresponsible, it ignores our moral duty to protect our woodlands and the species upon which they depend. Yours sincerely Nick King President, Environmentally Concerned Citizens of Orange.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered