Q1. First name  Chris
Q2. Last name  Nixon
Q3. Phone  
Q4. Mobile  not answered
Q5. Email  
Q6. Postcode  
Q7. Country  Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type  Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other  
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff  
Q11. Organisation name  
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?  Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?  Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?  Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?  No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?  
I want to see logging of native timbres stopped, set up forestry farms for timber production.
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?  
not answered
Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

The act lacks the protection that is necessary to ensure my grandchildren can walk in a native forest that has never been logged. We need to retain what forests we have left.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

Not a snowball's chance in hell!

Q21. General comments

This is another example of Parliamentarians looking after their rich mates in industry, bugger the general public and what they want, our forests retained as they are.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)

not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)

not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)

not answered